

IN SHORT

The Situation: In *Bakhshiyeva v Sberbank of Russia*, a debtor sought to restructure English law -governed debts pursuant to an Azerbaijani restructuring proceeding. In order to prevent certain dissenting creditors from commencing enforcement proceedings against the debtor in the UK, the debtor asked the English court to provide an indefinite stay.

The Result: The Court of Appeal reinforced the old English common law principle known as "the rule in *Gibbs*," which prevents debt obligations governed by English law being discharged by foreign insolvency proceedings without consent.

Looking Ahead: Gibbs has been heavily criticised on the basis that the concept of "universalism" has become a central tenet in achieving a successful cross-border restructuring. However, proponents of the rule argue that creditors enter into English law contracts to access the impartiality, commerciality and due process of the English courts. Given the continuing uncertainty in relation to Brexit, the upholding of the rule in Gibbs is likely to be of critical importance when determining international restructuring strategies involving English law documentation.

The Rule in Gibbs

The Gibbs rule is named for the case in which it was formulated: Antony Gibbs & Sons v La Société Industrielle et Commerciale des Métaux (1890) LR 25 QBD 399). Its effect is that, unless a creditor submits to a foreign proceeding, a foreign proceeding designed to bring about the cancellation of a debtor's obligations will discharge only those liabilities governed by the law of the country in which that proceeding took place.

In the context of the UK's current membership of the EU, *Gibbs* does not apply where an insolvency proceeding has been opened pursuant to the European Insolvency Regulation. However, following the UK's departure from the EU, the UK would need to make new arrangements with the EU to ensure the disapplication of the rule in *Gibbs* would continue. If no new arrangements are made, in the absence of consent, it will only be possible to amend English law documentation via English proceedings. The most likely consequence of this in a restructuring context is that a scheme of arrangement may be required in parallel with any local EU or other international insolvency proceeding.

WANT TO KNOW MORE? Read the full version.

THREE KEY TAKEAWAYS

 The case highlights the importance of dissenting creditors not participating in a foreign proceeding in circumstances where they intend to challenge jurisdiction in the UK.



Kay V. Morley



Sarah Archer London

- For now, the Gibbs rule remains in force therefore, English law-governed debt can only be discharged by consent of the creditor or via an English law insolvency proceeding.
- 3. Accordingly, parties should consider a parallel English scheme of arrangement in circumstances where a non-EU debt restructuring involves the discharge of English law-governed debt obligations. The position relative to EU restructuring after March 29, 2018 has yet to be determined.

William J. Bettle, a trainee solicitor in the London Office, assisted with the preparation of this Commentary.

YOU MIGHT BE INTERESTED IN: Go To All Recommendations >>



New York's
Appellate Division
Continues Trend
On Discoverability
of Social Media



Collective Redress in Europe: Developments in Key Jurisdictions— The Netherlands



Massachusetts' Highest Court Narrows In Pari Delicto Defense

SUBSCRIBE

SUBSCRIBE TO RSS











Jones Day is a global law firm with more than 2,500 lawyers on five continents. One Firm WorldwideSM

Disclaimer: Jones Day's publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information purposes only and may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the Firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our "Contact Us" form, which can be found on our website at www.jonesday.com. The mailing of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the Firm.

© 2019 Jones Day. All rights reserved. 51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W., Washington D.C. 20001-2113