

## **How Scandalous! Federal Circuit Holds Vulgar Trademarks Are Registrable**

Trademarks will no longer be refused registration on the basis that they constitute immoral or scandalous matter. On December 15, 2017, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit unanimously ruled in *In re Brunetti* that the bar against such marks is unconstitutional because it violates the First Amendment.

The appeal concerned a trademark application for the proposed mark FUCT, covering various clothing goods. The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office refused to register the trademark based on Section 2(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1052(a), which prohibits the registration of a trademark that "[c]onsists of or comprises immoral ... or scandalous matter."

On appeal, the case was originally stayed pending the U.S. Supreme Court's June 2017 decision in *Matal v. Tam*, which reviewed the disparagement provision of Section 2(a) and found that provision unconstitutional. Relying on the Supreme Court's reasoning in Tam, the Federal Circuit held that refusing registration would unfairly deprive the applicant of his right to free speech.

The Federal Circuit first found that a "substantial composite" of the American public would find the mark vulgar, and it was therefore scandalous under Section 2(a). However, the court concluded that the scandalousness provision constitutes an impermissible content-based restriction on speech, which does not survive strict or even intermediate scrutiny. The court reasoned that "the government's interest in protecting the public from off-putting marks is an inadequate government interest for First Amendment purposes." According to the Federal Circuit: "The First Amendment ... protects private expression, even private expression which is offensive to a substantial composite of the general public."

As the bar on immoral and scandalous marks was held to be unconstitutional, the court reversed the holding that the mark was unregistrable under Section 2(a).

## **CONTACTS**



Meredith M. Wilkes Cleveland



John G. Froemming Washington



Anna E. Raimer



Lauren Refinetti Timmons

SUBSCRIBE

SUBSCRIBE TO RSS











Jones Day is a global law firm with more than 2,500 lawyers on five continents. We are One Firm Worldwide<sup>SM</sup>.

**Disclaimer:** Jones Day publications should not be construed as legal advice on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information purposes only and may not be quoted or referred to in any other publication or proceeding without the prior written consent of the Firm, to be given or withheld at our discretion. To request reprint permission for any of our publications, please use our "Contact Us" form, which can be found on our website at www.jonesday.com. The mailing of this publication is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship. The views set forth herein are the personal views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firm

© 2017 Jones Day. All rights reserved. 51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W., Washington D.C. 20001-2113